

PATCHING & ZARISKI DESCENT

YUXIANG ZHAO

CONTENTS

1. Some modules	1
2. Zariski descent	2
3. Patching and properties of modules	3
References	4

In this section, we will prove that finite projective modules=finite presented flat=finite locally free modules. All lemmas and theorems can be found in [Aso21].

1. SOME MODULES

Before this section, we review some knowledge about rings and modules. And we will prove that finite projective modules are finite locally free, finite presented flat modules are finite locally free.

Let's review some basic knowledge.

Lemma 1.0.1. *R is a commutative ring, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is quasi-compact.*

Lemma 1.0.2. *If R is a local ring, finite projective R -module is free.*

Lemma 1.0.3. *Finite projective modules are finite presented*

Let's prove an important lemma.

Lemma 1.0.4. *If R is a commutative ring, \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, and P is a finite projective R -module, then there exists $s \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that P_s is a free R_s -module.*

Proof. P is a finite projective R -module, by 1.0.3, we know P is finite presented, then we can know there is a short exact sequence:

$$R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0,$$

so we can use an $m \times n$ matrix M over R to represent P , which means $P \cong R^{\oplus n}/M \cdot R^{\oplus m}$. By 1.0.5, $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free module, so it's easy to see there is an invertible $m \times m$ matrix N over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that MN is the form of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Because there are only finite elements, we can choose an element $s \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that N is an matrix over R_s , which means P_s is a free R_s -module. \square

Date: January 23, 2026.

Proposition 1.0.5. *Finite projective modules are finite locally free.*

Proof. Let P be a finite projective R -module, we can fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , by 1.0.4, we can know there is an element $s_1 \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that P_{s_1} is a free R_{s_1} -module. Then we can find another prime ideal \mathfrak{q} such that $s_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $s_2 \in R - \mathfrak{q}$, then P_{s_2} is a free R_{s_2} -module. Therefore, we can find a sequence s_1, s_2, \dots such that P_{s_i} is a free R_{s_i} -module for any i . And it's easy to see $D(s_i)$ can cover $\text{Spec}(R)$, by 1.0.1, we can find $D(s_{i_1}), D(s_{i_2}), \dots, D(s_{i_n})$ can cover $\text{Spec}(R)$. Thus P is finite locally free. \square

Let's review a lemma and Nakayama's lemma:

Lemma 1.0.6. *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a exact sequence of R -modules and C is flat, then for any R -module N , we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \otimes_R A \rightarrow N \otimes_R B \rightarrow N \otimes_R C \rightarrow 0$.*

Lemma 1.0.7. *If M is a finitely generated module over a commutative ring R , N is a submodule of M , $J = \cap \mathfrak{m}$ is the Jacobson radical of R , and $N + JM = M$, then $M = N$.*

Let's prove that finite presented flat modules are finite locally free.

Proposition 1.0.8. *Finite presented flat modules are finite locally free.*

Proof. Let M be a finite presented flat module, we can consider the basis of $M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$, then we can lift them in $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can get a map $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we consider the image is N , then $N/\mathfrak{p}N = M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $N + \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, by 1.0.7, we can know $M = N$, thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is surjective. And M is finite presented, we can find an element $f \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that the original map $R_f^{\oplus} \rightarrow M_f$ is surjective (we can find finite elements to represent the basis, then we can find the common denominator.). Then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \rightarrow R_f^{\oplus} \rightarrow M_f \rightarrow 0$ and \ker is finite generated, by 1.0.6, we can find $\ker \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p} = 0$, by 1.0.7, we know $\ker = 0$. Thus M is finite locally free. \square

2. ZARISKI DESCENT

In this section, we will talk about Zariski descent. Before talking about this, we need to review some knowledge

Proposition 2.0.1. *If R is a commutative ring and $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ is a sequence of R -modules. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ is exact,
- (2) $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is exact for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$,
- (3) $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is exact for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$.

Let's give some definitions.

Definition 2.0.2. Let R be a commutative ring, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n can generate the whole ring, we define $R' = R_{f_1} \oplus R_{f_2} \oplus \dots \oplus R_{f_n}$.

Remark 2.0.3. It is easy to see R' -module is the direct sum of R_{f_i} -module.

Definition 2.0.4. Let R be a commutative ring, there is a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$, we define a category $\text{Mod}_R(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$:

Object: (M', θ) , where M' is an R' -module, $M'_l = R' \otimes_R M'$, $M'_r = M' \otimes_R R'$ and bimodules homomorphism $\theta : M'_l \cong M'_r$ such that $p_{12}^* \theta \circ p_{23}^* \theta = p_{13}^* \theta$, where p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23} are extensions of scalars for different factors,

$$p_{12} : X \otimes_R Y \rightarrow X \otimes_R Y \otimes_R R', p_{13} : X \otimes_R Y \rightarrow X \otimes_R R' \otimes_R Y, p_{23} : X \otimes_R Y \rightarrow R' \otimes_R X \otimes_R Y,$$

Morphism: $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ compatible with θ_M and θ_N .

Let's prove the important theorem:

Theorem 2.0.5. *Let R be a commutative ring, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n can generate the whole ring, the functor $F : \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ is defined as $F(M) = (M \otimes_{R_{f_1}} R') \oplus M_{f_2} (M \otimes_{R_{f_2}} R') \oplus \dots \oplus M_{f_n} (M \otimes_{R_{f_n}} R')$ and the obvious θ . This functor is well-defined and an equivalence. The inverse functor can be given by $Q : \text{Mod}_R(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ such that $Q(M', \theta) = \ker(\text{id} - \theta)$.*

Remark 2.0.6. We should notice these things:

- (1) This θ is a little different, it should be the collection of $R_f \otimes M_g \cong M_f \otimes R_g$.
- (2) We need to explain $id - \theta$ because θ is a module morphism between M'_i and M'_r , it seems to be wrong. In fact, we have $R' \otimes_R M' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M' \otimes_R R'$ to get them. And the kernel should be seen as the element in M' .

Proof. It's easy to see these functors are well-defined by the properties of localization. And we have these maps

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \prod_i M_{f_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} M_{f_i f_j}$$

the second map should be the difference between M_{f_i} and M_{f_j} . We need to prove the sequence is exact. We have $f_i^{s_i+t_i} f_1^{t_1} m_1 = f_i^{t_i} f_1^{s_1+t_1} m_i$. so $f_i^{s_i+t_i} m_1 f_1^{-s_1} = f_i^{t_i} m_i$ because f_1 is an unit in R_p , which means it's from R_p . Because f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n can generate the whole ring, we can get $1 = (\sum_{i,j} a_i f_i)^t$, it's easy to check the first map is injective. By the property of localization, for any element $(\frac{m_i}{f_i^{s_i}})$ of $\prod_i M_{f_i}$ in the kernel of the second map, we can assume $(f_2, \dots, f_n) = \mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal. Then f_1 is a unit in R_m , because localization functor is exact and commute with each other, we consider this M_m as a R_m -module, then element $(\frac{m_i}{f_i^{s_i}})$ in the kernel of the second map. And this operation can be derived by any maximal ideal. By local to global principal 2.0.1, we know it is exact. Therefore, $QF(M) = M$.

Then we can assume $M' = \oplus_i M_i$ and $M = \ker(id - \theta)$, where M_i is an R_{f_i} -module. So we can see the map $M \rightarrow M'$ as the collection of $M \rightarrow M_i$. By the universal property of localization, we know these maps can be decomposed as $M \rightarrow M_{f_i} \rightarrow M_i$. So we just need to check these maps $\oplus_i M_{f_i} \rightarrow M'$ are bijective. By the decomposition of R' and θ is an bimodule homomorphism, it's easy to see the restriction of θ is $R_{f_i} \otimes M_j \cong M_i \otimes R_{f_j}$, we can see the the restriction of identity must be 0 except $i = j$. so the kernel must be $\oplus_i R_{f_i} \otimes M_i \cong M'$. \square

Remark 2.0.7. It seems to be irrelevant to the content of this section, but this gives us motivation to think about whether the local property can imply the global property.

3. PATCHING AND PROPERTIES OF MODULES

In this section, we will introduce some propertites of modules and finish the proof about finite projective modules=finite locally free modules.

Definition 3.0.1. M is an R -module. For any family $\{f_i\}$ in ring R can generate the whole ring R , if M_{f_i} has the property $P \iff M$ has the property P , then we call the property P is local for the Zariski topology.

Let's review some things about the compatibility between the localization and finite presented.

Lemma 3.0.2. If $S \subset R$ be a multiplicative set of R , and M is a finite presented R -module, N is a R -module, then $Hom_R(M, N)_S \cong Hom_{R_S}(M_S, N_S)$.

Then let's prove some examples with this property.

Proposition 3.0.3. There are some properties which are local for the Zariski topology.

- (1) Finite generation,
- (2) Finite presentation,
- (3) Coherence,
- (4) Finite projectivity.

Proof. Let's prove these things for R -module M :

- (1) " \Rightarrow " It's easy to see M_{f_i} must be finite generated. " \Leftarrow " If we have some surjective homomorphisms: $R_{f_i}^{\oplus s_i} \rightarrow M_{f_i}$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = R$, then we can choose suitable generators such that they are in M , then we can get the homomorphism $R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \rightarrow M$, then we can take the localization for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we can choose an element $f_i \notin \mathfrak{m}$, from the construction we know this is surjective because this is

the sequence after the localization. By local-global 2.0.1, we know $R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \rightarrow M$ is surjective.

(2) " \Rightarrow " Similarly, by using the exact sequence $R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, we can take localization to get the first direction i.e. M_{f_i} is finite presented. " \Leftarrow " Conversely, if we have some exact sequences: $R_{f_i}^{\oplus t_i} \rightarrow R_{f_i}^{\oplus s_i} \rightarrow M_{f_i} \rightarrow 0$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = R$, then we can choose suitable generators such that they are in M , then we can get the homomorphism $R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n t_i} \rightarrow R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, we can take the localization for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we can choose an element $f_i \notin \mathfrak{m}$, from the construction we know this is exact because this is the sequence after the localization. By local-global 2.0.1, we know $R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n t_i} \rightarrow R^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

(3) " \Rightarrow " Localization is exact, which means the localization of coherent module is coherent. " \Leftarrow " For another direction, we can choose $M' \subset M$ as a finite generated submodule, then we can see $M'_{f_i} \subset M_{f_i}$ is finite presented because localization preserves finite generation and M_{f_i} is coherent. Thus we can see M' is finite presented by (2), which means M is coherent.

(4) " \Rightarrow " This is obvious by 1.0.5. " \Leftarrow " By 1.0.5, we can assume M_{f_i} is finite free, and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules, we can get the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow 0$ (except the $\rightarrow 0$, it's exact). Similarly, taking the localization, by 1.0.3 and 3.0.2, we can find this is from $0 \rightarrow A_{f_i} \rightarrow B_{f_i} \rightarrow C_{f_i} \rightarrow 0$ by applying $\text{Hom}_{R_{f_i}}(P_{f_i}, -)$. Because P_{f_i} is finite free, we can see the exactness. And take the further localization, get the the exactness after taking the localization at any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Thus P is projective by local-global 2.0.1, by (1), we know P is finite projective. \square

Now we can prove the final theorem:

Theorem 3.0.4. *If R is a commutative ring, M is a R -module, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) M is finite projective,
- (2) M is finite presented and flat,
- (3) M is finite locally free.

Proof. Let's prove these things:

"(1) \Rightarrow (2)" It's easy by 1.0.3.

"(2) \Rightarrow (3)" By 1.0.8.

"(3) \Rightarrow (1)" By 3.0.3, we can see M_{f_i} is finite free, then finite projective, so M is finite projective. \square

REFERENCES

[Aso21] Aravind Asok. Algebraic geometry from an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic viewpoint, May 3, 2021.