

# HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION OF ALGEBRAIC VECTOR BUNDLES

ABSTRACT. In this note, we define naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of maps between affine schemes as a direct generalization of the notion of homotopy from topology. Just like in the latter, we can then give a homotopy classification of algebraic vector bundles by maps into Grassmannian.

## 1. NAIVE $\mathbb{A}^1$ -HOMOTOPY

Recall that in topology, vector bundles can be classified upto isomorphism as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact space and let  $\text{Vect}_n(X)$  be the isomorphism classes of vector bundles over  $X$ . Let  $\text{Gr}_n$  be the complex Grassmannian of  $n$ -planes and let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  be the universal  $n$ -plane bundle over  $\text{Gr}_n$ . Then there is a natural bijection*

$$[X, \text{Gr}_n] \cong \text{Vect}_n(X)$$

given by  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  mapping to  $f^*\mathcal{E}$ .

In particular, any map  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  uniquely determines a vector bundle of rank  $n$  upto homotopy on  $X$ . Our goal is to generalize this in order to prove a similar result in algebraic geometry.

## 2. NAIVE $\mathbb{A}^1$ -HOMOTOPIES

Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be two morphisms of affine schemes  $X = \text{Spec}(B)$  and  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$  over  $k$ , corresponding to  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$  respectively. A naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  is a morphism  $H : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H_0 = f$  and  $H_1 = g$ . In terms of algebra, naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy is a homomorphism  $\alpha$

$$\alpha : A \longrightarrow B \otimes_k k[t] = B[t]$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & B \\
 & \nearrow \varphi & \uparrow t \mapsto 0 \\
 A & \longrightarrow & B \otimes_k k[t] \cong B[t] \\
 & \searrow \psi & \downarrow t \mapsto 1 \\
 & & B
 \end{array}$$

One says that  $f$  and  $g$  are connected by homotopy  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The relation of being connected by a naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy is reflexive and symmetric.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are two maps. Clearly,  $f$  is connected to  $f$  via the homotopy  $(x, t) \mapsto f(x)$ . Moreover, it is symmetric as if  $H : f \rightarrow g$ , then  $\bar{H}(x, t) = H(x, 1 - t)$  is a naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy connecting  $g \rightarrow f$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.2** (Naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy is not transitive). Consider  $Y = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/xy)$ ,  $X = \text{Spec}(k)$  and consider three morphisms  $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$  mapping pt.  $\mapsto (0, 1), (0, 0), (1, 0)$  respectively. Observe that there are naive homotopies  $f \rightarrow g$  and  $g \rightarrow h$ ; consider  $H_{f \rightarrow g} : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$  given by  $t \mapsto (0, 1 - t)$  and  $H_{g \rightarrow h} : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$  given by  $t \mapsto (t, 0)$ .

We claim that there is no map

$$H_{f \rightarrow h} : \mathbb{A}^1 \longrightarrow Y$$

such that  $H_{f \rightarrow h}(0) = (0, 1)$  and  $H_{f \rightarrow h}(1) = (1, 0)$ . Indeed, this would correspond to homomorphism

$$k[x, y]/(xy) \longrightarrow k[t],$$

which should map the  $\bar{x} \mapsto \alpha(t)$  and  $\bar{y} \mapsto \beta(t)$  such that  $\alpha(t)\beta(t) = 0$ , i.e. one of  $\alpha(t)$  or  $\beta(t)$  must be 0. But since  $f(\text{pt.}) = (1, 0)$  and  $h(\text{pt.}) = (0, 1)$ , we deduce that there is no such morphism  $H_{f \rightarrow h}$ .

Hence we define  $f$  and  $g$  to be *naively  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic* if they are equivalent in the equivalence relation generated by naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy. This means that there is a sequence of maps  $(f_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$  such that  $f_0 = f$  and  $f_n = g$  with  $H_k : f_k \rightarrow f_{k+1}$  a naive homotopy connecting  $f_k$  to  $f_{k+1}$ . We denote the equivalence classes of naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $X$  and  $Y$  by  $[X, Y]_N$ . We say two affine schemes  $X, Y$  are *naively  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy equivalent* if there are morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g$  is naively homotopic to  $\text{id}_Y$  and  $g \circ f$  is naively homotopic to  $\text{id}_X$ . In this case we write  $X \simeq_N Y$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any affine scheme  $X$ , we have*

$$X \simeq_N X \times \mathbb{A}^n.$$

*Proof.* Suffices to show that the map  $f : X \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $(x, s) \mapsto (x, 0)$  is naively homotopic to  $\text{id}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^n}$ . This is immediate by the naive homotopy  $(t, (x, s)) \mapsto (x, ts)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Aff}_k$  be the category of affine schemes over  $k$ . A functor  $F : \text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant if for the projection map  $p : X \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow X$  for any  $X \in \text{Aff}_k$  induces an isomorphism  $F(X) \rightarrow F(X \times \mathbb{A}_k^1)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $F$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant and  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are naively equivalent, then  $F(f) = F(g)$ .*

One can define the *naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category* over a field  $k$  as the category  $\mathcal{H}_N(k)$  whose objects are affine varieties over  $k$  and morphisms are equivalence classes of naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy equivalent maps.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine varieties over  $k$ , then the canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_N &\longrightarrow [X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y]_N \\ f &\mapsto f \circ \pi \end{aligned}$$

for  $\pi : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  projection induces a natural bijection.

*Proof.* Injectivity is clear by inclusion map  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ ,  $(x, s) \mapsto (s, (x, s))$ . For surjectivity, observe that if  $H : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$  is a map, then it is sufficient to show that it is homotopic to the map  $H_0 : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$ ,  $(x, s) \mapsto H(x, 0)$ . Indeed, the map  $K : \mathbb{A}^1 \times (X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow Y$  mapping  $(t, (x, s)) \mapsto H(x, st)$  is enough.  $\square$

### 3. GRASSMANNIAN

**3.1. Scheme structure.** Recall we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gr}_n(N) &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^n V) \cong \mathbb{P}^{\binom{N}{n}-1} \\ \Lambda &\mapsto [v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n] \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Lambda$  is a basis. This defines a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^n V)$  because it is given by

$$\text{Gr}_n(N) = \left\{ \eta \in \wedge^n V \mid \dim \text{Ker} \left( V \xrightarrow{\wedge \eta} \wedge^{n+1} V \right) \geq n \right\}$$

**3.2. Maps to  $\text{Gr}_n$ .** Let  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  be a morphism to the infinite Grassmannian of  $n$ -planes. Let  $\mathcal{V}^n \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  be the canonical  $n$ -plane bundle over  $\text{Gr}_n$ . For a map  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$ , we construct a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  of rank  $n$  over  $X$ . Indeed, by property of colimits, we must have that the map  $f$  factors as  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(N) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  for some large  $N$ . Hence, we get a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  together with a surjection  $\epsilon^N \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  where  $\epsilon^N$  is the trivial bundle of rank  $N$  over  $X$ . If  $X$  is affine, this corresponds to a map  $R^N \twoheadrightarrow P$  where  $P$  is projective of rank  $n$  with  $N$  generators, say  $e_1, \dots, e_N \in P$ .

Conversely, if instead we have a surjection  $R^N \twoheadrightarrow P$ , we can construct a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,N}$  as follows. Find

a Zariski cover of  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  given by  $\{D(f_i)\}_i$  such that  $P$  trivializes over  $D(f_i)$ . Hence we have that the composite  $R_{f_i}^n \rightarrow R_{f_i}^N \rightarrow P_{f_i}$  is an isomorphism. Thus we get a map

$$D(f_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n(N-n)}$$

which we can glue to get a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$ . To conclude, we have a surjective map

$$\text{Hom}(X, \text{Gr}_n) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Vect}_n(X).$$

#### 4. HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $X$  be a smooth affine  $k$ -scheme, then the map*

$$[X, \text{Gr}_n]_N \longrightarrow \text{Vect}_n(X)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Surjectivity is clear from previous. For injectivity, pick two maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  which leads to the same vector bundle of rank  $n$  over  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ , i.e. a projective module  $P$  of rank  $n$  with  $f$  defining  $r$  generators  $e_1, \dots, e_r \in P$  and  $g$  defining  $s$  generators  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in P$ . It suffices to show that there is a homotopy connecting  $f$  and  $g$ . To this end, it is equivalent to finding a 1-parameter family of maps  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  connecting  $f$  to  $g$ . By equivalence with projective modules and generators, we must have a one parameter family of projective modules over  $R$  interpolating between  $(P, (e_1, \dots, e_r))$  and  $(P, (f_1, \dots, f_s))$ . As  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n$  can be constructed by constructing  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(N)$  for  $N = r + s$ , therefore we reduce to constructing a 1-parameter family of projective  $R$ -modules  $P_t$  interpolating between  $(e_1, \dots, e_r, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(0, \dots, 0, f_1, \dots, f_s)$ . We can easily construct this by considering the generators  $(e_1, \dots, e_r, tf_1, \dots, tf_s)$  and  $((1-t)e_1, \dots, (1-t)e_r, f_1, \dots, f_s)$ .  $\square$