

# Problems on Vector Bundles & Characteristic Classes

December 12, 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Smooth manifolds</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Vector bundles</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Constructions on vector bundles</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Stiefel-Whitney classes &amp; Grassmannian</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Cohomology ring of Grassmannian</b>	<b>21</b>

## 1 Smooth manifolds

**Question 1.** Show that the following two are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is a smooth manifold as in Milnor-Stasheff.
2.  $X$  is a smooth manifold as is defined contemporarily.

*Proof.* (1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.) Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A$  be a smooth  $n$ -manifold as in Milnor-Stasheff. We wish to produce an atlas of  $X$  such that its transition maps are smooth. By definition, we have local parameterizations  $(U_\alpha, h_\alpha)_\alpha$  where  $U_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$  is an open embedding such that  $\cup_\alpha h_\alpha(U_\alpha) = X$ . Denote  $V_\alpha = h_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ . We claim that the collection  $(U_\alpha, h_\alpha)$  forms an atlas of  $X$  in the contemporary sense.

Indeed, we need only show that for any two  $\alpha, \beta$ , the transition map

$$h_\beta^{-1} \circ h_\alpha : h_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta) \rightarrow h_\beta^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)$$

is smooth. Indeed, this is what Lemma 1.1 of Milnor-Stasheff says, which we have done in class.

(2.  $\Rightarrow$  1.) Consider  $A = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; \mathbb{R})$  to be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of all smooth maps on the contemporary smooth  $n$ -manifold  $X$ . Let the charts of  $X$  be  $(U_\alpha, h_\alpha)$  where  $U_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$  is an open embedding with smooth transitions. Denote  $V_\alpha = h_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ . Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : X &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^A \\ x &\longmapsto (f(x))_{f \in A}. \end{aligned}$$

Our first claim is that  $f$  is an injective continuous map. We first show continuity. If  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A$  is a basic open set, then  $V = \prod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$  where for all but finitely many  $\alpha$  is  $U_\alpha$  proper, say for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1}(V) &= \{x \in X \mid f_\alpha(x) \in U_\alpha\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid f_{\alpha_i}(x) \in U_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \dots, k\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid x \in f_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) \forall i\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^k f_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) \end{aligned}$$

and the latter is open in  $X$  as  $f_{\alpha_i} \in A$  are smooth. Next, we show injectivity of  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ , then for all  $f \in A$ ,  $f(x) = f(y)$ . This follows from Proposition 2.25 of Lee.

Next, we show that the chart  $\{(U_\alpha, h_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  of  $X$  gives a local parameterization of  $X$  in the sense of Milnor-Stasheff. To this end, we first have to show that the composite  $\varphi \circ h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^A$  is a smooth map. Indeed, it suffices to show that each for each projection  $\pi_f : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $f \in A$ , the composition  $\pi_f \circ \varphi \circ h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth map. As  $\pi_f \circ \varphi \circ h_\alpha(u) = f(h_\alpha(u)) = f \circ h_\alpha(u)$ . As  $f$  is smooth, hence so is  $f \circ h_\alpha$ . This shows that  $\varphi \circ h_\alpha$  is smooth.

Finally, we wish to show that the derivative  $D(\varphi \circ h_\alpha) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^A$  is of rank  $n$ . We'll show that there is an  $n \times n$  submatrix of  $A \times n$  matrix  $D(\varphi \circ h_\alpha)$  which is full rank. Indeed, consider a chart  $h : U \rightarrow M$  with  $V = h(U)$  and consider the projection map  $p_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\pi_i \circ h^{-1}$ . There exists a smooth map  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{Supp}(\psi) \subseteq V$ . We may thus define the map

$$\tilde{\pi}_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin V \\ \psi p_i & \text{if } x \in V. \end{cases}$$

This is a smooth map. Moreover,  $\text{Supp}(\tilde{\pi}_i) \subseteq V$ . It is immediate to see that these  $n$  maps  $\tilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\pi}_n$  are such that  $D(\tilde{\pi}_i \circ h)$  is linearly independent set for all points in  $U$ .  $\square$

**Question 2.** Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A$  be a smooth  $n$ -manifold and for  $x \in M$ , let  $h : U \rightarrow M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A$  be a chart with  $h(u) = x$ . Then show that any linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{v}_i = \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(u) \in \mathbb{R}^A$  in  $T_x M$  is again a tangent vector. This shows that  $T_x M$  is a vector space.

*Proof.* By translations, we may assume that  $u = 0$  so that  $h(0) = x$ . Let  $\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n$ . Consider the path  $\eta : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow U$  given by  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n t c_i e_i$ . Let  $\gamma = h \circ \eta : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(0) &= \frac{dh \circ \eta}{dt}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(0) \cdot \frac{d\eta_i}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i, \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Question 3.** Let  $M_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A$  and  $M_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^B$  be two manifolds of dimensions  $n$  and  $m$  respectively. Show the following:

1.  $M_1 \times M_2$  has the structure of a smooth  $n + m$ -manifold.
2.  $T(M_1 \times M_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $TM_1 \times TM_2$ .

*Proof.* 1. Consider  $(U_\alpha, g_\alpha)_\alpha$  be an atlas for  $M_1$  and  $(V_\beta, h_\beta)_\beta$  be an atlas for  $M_2$  where  $U_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V_\beta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . We claim that  $(U_\alpha \times V_\beta, g_\alpha \times h_\beta)_{\alpha, \beta}$  forms an atlas for  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Indeed, pick any point  $x \times y \in M_1 \times M_2$ . Then for some  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we'll have  $x \times y \in g_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times h_\beta(V_\beta)$ . Denote

$$k_{\alpha\beta} = g_\alpha \times h_\beta : U_\alpha \times V_\beta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{A \amalg B} \\ (u, v) \longmapsto (g_\alpha(u), h_\beta(v))$$

We need to show that  $k_{\alpha, \beta}$  is smooth. To this end, it suffices to show that  $\pi_j \circ k_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \times V_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth for any projection  $\pi_j : \mathbb{R}^{A \amalg B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $j \in A$ , then note that  $\pi_j \circ k_{\alpha\beta} = \pi_j \circ g_\alpha$ , where the RHS is smooth as  $(U_\alpha, g_\alpha)$  is a smooth chart for  $M_1$ . Similarly, if  $j \in B$ . Hence,  $k_{\alpha\beta}$  are smooth maps, as required.

Next, we show that  $k_{\alpha\beta}$  is an open embedding. To this end, we need only observe that product of two open embeddings is an open embedding. Finally, we have to show that  $D(k_{\alpha\beta})$  is a collection of  $n + m$ -linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{A \amalg B}$ . Observe that

$$D(k_{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_\alpha}{\partial u} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial h_\beta}{\partial v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D(g_\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & D(h_\beta) \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $D(g_\alpha)$  is of column rank  $n$  and  $D(h_\beta)$  is of column rank  $m$ , hence  $D(k_{\alpha\beta})$  is of column rank  $n + m$ , as required. This completes the proof of item 1.

2. It is first easy to see that  $T_{(m_1, m_2)} M_1 \times M_2 = T_{m_1} M_1 \times T_{m_2} M_2$  for any  $m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$ . This is essentially because local charts of  $M_1 \times M_2$  are product of those for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

Define the map

$$\varphi : T(M_1 \times M_2) \longrightarrow TM_1 \times TM_2 \\ (x_1, x_2, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \longmapsto ((x_1, \vec{v}_1), (x_2, \vec{v}_2)).$$

We wish to show that this is a diffeomorphism. First, observe that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism as  $\varphi$  is the restriction of the permutation homeomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : M_1 \times M_2 \times \mathbb{R}^A \times \mathbb{R}^B \longrightarrow M_1 \times \mathbb{R}^A \times M_2 \times \mathbb{R}^B \\ (m_1, m_2, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \longmapsto (m_1, \vec{v}_1, m_2, \vec{v}_2).$$

Hence, we need only show that  $\varphi$  is a smooth map with a smooth inverse. Indeed, pick any chart  $k = g \times h : U \times V \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$  of  $M_1 \times M_2$  where  $(U, g)$  and  $(V, h)$  are open charts for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. Recall that we then have a chart

$$k \times \partial : U \times V \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow T(M_1 \times M_2) \\ (u, v, \vec{a}, \vec{b}) \longmapsto \left( g(u), h(v), \vec{a} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}, \vec{b} \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \right).$$

We need only show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ (k \times \partial) : U \times V \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow M_1 \times \mathbb{R}^A \times M_2 \times \mathbb{R}^B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^A \times \mathbb{R}^A \times \mathbb{R}^B \times \mathbb{R}^B \\ (u, v, \vec{a}, \vec{b}) &\longmapsto \left( g(u), \vec{a} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}, h(v), \vec{b} \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \right). \end{aligned}$$

is smooth. But this is immediate as  $g, h$  are smooth charts and taking inner product is a linear operation. One can similarly show that the inverse map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} : TM_1 \times TM_2 &\longrightarrow T(M_1 \times M_2) \\ ((x_1, \vec{v}_1), (x_2, \vec{v}_2)) &\longmapsto (x_1, x_2, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \end{aligned}$$

is also smooth. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Question 4.** Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$  be the set of all 1-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and consider the quotient  $q : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . Define  $F = \{f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \circ q : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is smooth}\}$ . Show that

1.  $F$  is a smoothness structure on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .
2. Let  $M = \{A \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A \text{ is symmetric, } \text{Tr}(A) = 1 \text{ \& } A \cdot A = A\}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is diffeomorphic to  $M$ .
3. Show that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is compact and  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is open if and only if  $q^{-1}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0$  is open.

*Proof.* 1. We first show that  $F$  separates points of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Assuming to the contrary, we get that there exists  $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{P}^n$  two distinct points such that for all  $f \in F$ ,  $f([x]) = f([y])$ . Indeed, consider  $f_{ij}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_i : \mathbb{P}^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ [z] &\longmapsto \frac{z_i z_j}{\sum_{k=0}^n z_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption, we get

$$\frac{x_i x_j}{\sum_{k=0}^n x_k^2} = \frac{y_i y_j}{\sum_{k=0}^n y_k^2}.$$

from which we deduce that for each

$$\frac{x_i}{y_i} = \frac{x_j}{y_j} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n x_k^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n y_k^2}}.$$

The square root is a constant, say  $\alpha > 0$ . As  $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , we may take  $x, y \in S^{n+1}$  as  $S^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a quotient map. Thus, we get  $\alpha = 1$ , that is

$$\frac{x_i}{y_i} = \frac{x_j}{y_j}$$

for all  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ . This shows that  $[x] = [y]$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , a contradiction. Hence  $F$  separates points.

Next we wish to show that the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{P}^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^F \\ [x] &\longmapsto (f([x]))_{f \in F} \end{aligned}$$

is a smooth manifold. Indeed, let  $M = \varphi(\mathbb{P}^n)$  and consider the subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  given by  $U_i, i = 0, \dots, n$  where  $U_i = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ . Let  $V_i = \varphi(U_i) \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^F$ . We claim that the maps

$$\varphi \circ h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{h_i} U_i \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$$

where  $h_i$  is given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [x_1 : \dots : x_{i-1} : 1 : x_i : \dots : x_n]$  is smooth. Indeed,  $\varphi \circ h_i$  composed with projection on  $f \in F$  is the composite  $f \circ h_i$  which is smooth as it is the restriction of  $f \circ q$  to the open subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0$  where  $x_i \neq 0$ .

Finally, to show that  $\varphi \circ h_i$  is a local parameterization for  $M$ , we need to show that  $D(\varphi \circ h_i)$  is of rank  $n$ . Again, as in Question 1, it suffices to find  $n$ -functions  $f_j \in F$  so that the corresponding  $n \times n$  submatrix of  $D(\varphi \circ h_i)$  is of full rank. One can check that this is done by the following  $n$ -functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_j : \mathbb{P}^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ [x] &\longmapsto \frac{x_j^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n x_k^2} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$  and  $j \neq i$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has  $F$  as a smoothness structure.

2. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{P}^n &\longrightarrow M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2} \\ [x] &\longmapsto A_x \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_x = (f_{ij}([x]))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} : \mathbb{P}^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ [x] &\longmapsto \frac{x_i x_j}{\sum_{k=0}^n x_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to see that indeed  $A_x \in M$ . We need to show that  $\varphi$  is a diffeomorphism. Clearly,  $\varphi$  is smooth as for the charts  $(U_i, h_i)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as in item 1, the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ h_i : U_i &\longrightarrow M \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto A_x \end{aligned}$$

is smooth as each entry of  $A_x$  is a rational function in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with denominator never vanishing as  $0 \notin U_i$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is smooth.

We construct a smooth inverse of  $\varphi$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ A = (a_{ij}) &\longmapsto [l] \end{aligned}$$

where  $l$  is the column space of  $A$ , i.e. the linear space spanned by  $n+1$ -columns  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  of  $A$ . Indeed, as  $A$  is a symmetric idempotent matrix of trace 1, therefore  $A$  is a projection matrix onto a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , spanned by the columns. Hence  $\psi$  is well-defined. Moreover, it is smooth as  $\psi$  on each coordinate is a linear combination of entries of  $A$ . This shows that  $\psi$  is

smooth. Then  $\psi$  is an inverse of  $\varphi$ . This shows that  $M$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are diffeomorphic.

3. The map  $q : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a quotient map. As we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0 & \\ \nearrow & \downarrow q & \\ S^n & \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{P}^n & \end{array},$$

therefore we have a quotient map  $p : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is compact. By definition of quotient maps,  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is open if and only if  $q^{-1}(V)$  is open.  $\square$

**Question 5.** Let  $M$  be a smooth  $n$ -manifold and  $R = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ .

1. Show that  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.
2. Every point  $x \in M$  determines  $\text{ev}_x : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism. That is, we have a function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev} : M &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{R}) \\ x &\longmapsto \text{ev}_x \end{aligned}$$

3. If  $M$  is compact, then there is a bijection of sets

$$\text{mspec}(R) \cong M.$$

4. If  $M$  is second-countable, then the map

$$\text{ev} : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{R})$$

is a bijection.

5. For any  $x \in M$ , consider the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra map  $\text{ev}_x : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$ . Hence for each  $x \in M$ , we get that  $\mathbb{R}$  is an  $R$ -module via the map  $\text{ev}_x$  and we denote  $\mathbb{R}$  with this  $R$ -module structure as  $\mathbb{R}_x$ . Then show that any  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$X : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying  $X(fg) = X(f) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot X(g)$  for some fixed  $x \in M$  is uniquely determined by a choice of a vector  $\vec{v} \in T_x M$ . That is, if  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(R, \mathbb{R}_x)$  denotes the set of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps  $d : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_x$  satisfying  $d(fg) = d(f) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot d(g)$  for some  $x \in M$ , then we have a bijection

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(R, \mathbb{R}_x) \cong T_x M.$$

*Proof.* 1. This is immediate by pointwise addition and multiplication.

2. Define for any  $x \in M$  the following  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_x : R &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\longmapsto f(x) \end{aligned}$$

which is the evaluation at  $x$ . This is the required homomorphism. Note that  $\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x)$  is a maximal ideal since  $R/\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

3. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : M &\longrightarrow \text{mspec}(R) \\ x &\longmapsto \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x).\end{aligned}$$

By item 2,  $\alpha(x)$  is indeed a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Pick any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mspec}(R)$ . We show that it is kernel of evaluation at some point. If not, then for all  $x \in M$ , there exists  $f_x \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $f_x(x) \neq 0$ . As  $f_x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, therefore there exists an open  $U_x \subseteq M$  such that  $f_x(y) \neq 0$  for all  $y \in U_x$ . We have thus obtained a cover of  $M$  by  $\{U_x\}$ . By shrinking each  $U_x$  if necessary, we may assume that  $U_x \subseteq C_x \subseteq V_x$  where  $C_x$  is a compact set of  $M$  and  $V_x$  is open in  $M$ . It follows by compactness that there is a finite cover  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$ . As  $M$  is compact Hausdorff, therefore there exists smooth bump functions on each open  $U_{x_i}$ . Thus we have maps  $\rho_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\rho_i = 1$  on  $U_{x_i}$ . Consider then the map  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i f_{x_i}^2$ . This is a global smooth map  $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i f_{x_i}^2(x) \neq 0$  as for any  $x \in X$ , there are finitely many  $U_{x_i}$  containing  $x$  on which atleast one of  $f_{x_i}$  is non-zero and  $\rho_i$  is 1. Hence  $g$  is invertible. As  $f_{x_i}^2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ , therefore  $g \in \mathfrak{m}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{m} = R$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\alpha$  is surjective.

We next show injectivity of  $\alpha$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}_x = \mathfrak{m}_y$  and  $x \neq y$ , then by Hausdorff property, we may separate  $x$  and  $y$  by opens  $U$  and  $V$ . Consider the singleton  $\{x\} \subseteq U$  which is compact. By Proposition 2.29 of Lee, we deduce that there exists  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $f = 0$  on  $X \setminus V$ . Thus  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $f(y) = 0$ , as required. This establishes that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

4. It is injective as if  $f \mapsto f(z)$  is same for  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}_x = \mathfrak{m}_y$  by item 3. By injectivity of  $\alpha$  we deduce that  $x = y$ . For surjectivity, pick  $\psi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism. Then, we claim that there exists  $x \in M$  such that  $\psi(f) = f(x)$ . We give a simple proof if  $M$  is compact. Indeed, by item 3, we have that  $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \mathfrak{m}_x$  for some point  $x \in M$ . We claim that  $\psi = \text{ev}_x$ . Indeed, if not then there exists  $g_x \in R$  such that  $\psi(g_x) \neq g_x(x)$ . Consider the map  $f = g_x - \psi(g_x)$  in  $R$  where we assume  $\psi(g_x)$  as a constant function. Applying  $\psi$  to it, we get

$$\psi(f) = \psi(g_x) - \psi(\psi(g_x)) = \psi(g_x) - \psi(g_x) = 0.$$

Thus  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_x$  and hence  $g_x(x) = \psi(g_x)$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of item 4 for the case when  $M$  is compact.

Now consider  $M$  to be only second countable. If  $\psi \neq \text{ev}_x$  for all  $x$ , then for all  $x \in M$ , there exists  $g_x \in R$  such that  $\psi(g_x) \neq g_x(x)$ . We thus get maps  $f_x = g_x - \psi(g_x) \in R$  which are non-zero at  $x$ . It follows that there exists opens  $U_x$  containing  $x$  such that  $f_x$  on  $U_x$  is non-vanishing, so we may assume wlog that  $f_x > 0$ . Note that for each  $f_x$ , we have

$$\psi(f_x) = 0.$$

Thus, each  $f_x \in \text{Ker}(\psi)$ . We next deduce by second countability, in particular, by Lindelöf property that there exists a countable subcover  $U_{x_n}$  of  $M$ . By refinement, we may assume that  $\{U_{x_n}\}_n$  is a locally finite cover. This allows us to construct a non-zero global smooth map given by

$$g = \sum_n f_{x_n}$$

where  $g(x) = \sum_n f_{x_n}(x)$  is finite as  $\{U_{x_n}\}$  is a locally finite cover, so there exists  $U \ni x$  such that  $U \cap U_{x_n} \neq \emptyset$  only for finitely many  $n$ . Consequently,  $\text{Ker}(\psi)$  contain  $g$  which is non-zero and hence a unit, a contradiction to non-triviality of  $\psi$ .

5. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : T_x M &\longrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(R, \mathbb{R}_x) \\ \vec{v} &\longmapsto X_{x, \vec{v}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_{x, \vec{v}}(f) = Df_x(\vec{v}) \in \mathbb{R}$ , the directional derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  along  $\vec{v}$ . This is injective as if  $X_{x, \vec{v}} = X_{x, \vec{w}}$  for  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in T_x M$ , then  $Df_x(\vec{v}) = Df_x(\vec{w})$  for all  $f \in R$ . As  $Df_x(\vec{v}) = \nabla f_x \cdot \vec{v}$  where  $\nabla f_x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the gradient vector of  $f$  at  $x$ , therefore we get that  $\nabla f_x(\vec{v} - \vec{w}) = 0$  for all  $f \in R$ . We may let  $f$  to be the global projection maps on  $M$  obtained by using partitions of unity and the  $n$ -projection maps on the local charts. This yields that  $\vec{v} - \vec{w} = 0$ , as required.

For surjectivity, consider any derivation  $d : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_x$ . We wish to show that  $d = X_{x, \vec{v}}$  for some  $\vec{v} \in T_x M$ . Begin by fixing a coordinate chart  $h : U \rightarrow M$  such that  $h(0) = x$  where  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open neighborhood of 0. Consider the basis vectors  $\vec{v}_i \in T_x M$  given by

$$\vec{v}_i = \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(0).$$

We do the Taylor expansion of  $f \circ h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , so that for some open  $U' \subseteq U$  around 0, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ h(p) &= f \circ h(0) + D(f \circ h)_0(p) + p^T H(f \circ h)_0 p \\ &= f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ h}{\partial x_i}(0) \cdot p_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f \circ h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) p_i p_j. \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(f \circ h)_0$  is the Hessian of  $f \circ h$  at 0  $\in U'$ . Let  $\phi : V' = h(U') \rightarrow U'$  be the inverse of  $h$  on  $U'$ . Thus for any  $y \in V'$ , we may get the following by replacing  $p$  by  $\phi(y)$ :

$$f(y) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ h}{\partial x_i}(0) \cdot \phi_i(y) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f \circ h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) \phi_i(y) \phi_j(y).$$

Applying  $d$  on above equation, we get

$$d(f) = d(f(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ h}{\partial x_i}(0) \cdot d(\phi_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f \circ h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) d(\phi_i \phi_j)$$

Now note that derivation applied at a constant is 0, so  $d(f(x)) = 0$ . Further,  $d(\phi_i \phi_j) = \phi_i(x) d(\phi_j) + \phi_j(x) d(\phi_i) = 0$  as  $\phi_i(x) = 0 = \phi_j(x)$ . Hence, we get

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ h}{\partial x_i}(0) \cdot d(\phi_i).$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_i) \cdot X_{x, \vec{v}_i}(f) &= d(\phi_i) \cdot Df_x(\vec{v}_i) \\ &= \frac{\partial f \circ h}{\partial x_i}(0) \cdot d(\phi_i). \end{aligned}$$



Hence, we get that

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(\phi_i)X_{x, \vec{v}_i} = X_{x, \sum_{i=1}^n d(\phi_i)\vec{v}_i}(f),$$

as required.  $\square$

## 2 Vector bundles

**Question 6.** Let  $M$  be a smooth  $n$ -manifold. Show that the tangent manifold  $TM$  with the projection map  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n$ .

*Proof.* Fix a point  $x \in M$ . We wish to find an open set  $U \ni x$  in  $M$  such that  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is a trivial bundle. Indeed, consider a chart  $(U, h)$  around  $x$ , so that  $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open embedding. We claim that  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is the tangent manifold of  $U \subseteq M$ . Indeed,  $\pi^{-1}(U) = \{(x, \vec{v}) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \vec{v} \in T_x U\}$  and since  $T_x U = T_x M$ , therefore  $\pi^{-1}(U) = TU$ . It hence suffices to show that  $TU \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , that is,  $U$  is parallelizable. We claim that  $TU \cong TV$  where  $h : U \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a homeomorphism. Indeed, as each chart is a diffeomorphism by inverse function theorem, hence the map  $dh : TU \rightarrow TV$  induced by  $h$  is a fiberwise isomorphism. It now suffices to show that  $TV$  is trivial.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is parallelizable. Indeed for  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in V$ , we may consider  $T_x V = \mathbb{R}^n$  obtained by shifting the origin to  $x$ . Consider the sections  $s_i : V \rightarrow TV$  mapping  $x \mapsto (x, \vec{e}_i)$  where  $\vec{e}_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  standard vector in  $T_x V = \mathbb{R}^n$ . This is continuous since it is continuous as a map  $V \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that this may not be continuous if  $V$  was not a manifold with one chart, as then different charts would give different coordinates for the same tangent vector. As the collection  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  is everywhere independent collection of global sections of  $TV \rightarrow V$  hence  $TV \rightarrow V$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Question 7.** Show the following about  $n$ -spheres.

1. If  $S^n$  admits a non-vanishing vector field, then the identity map  $\text{id} : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  is homotopic to the antipodal map  $a : S^n \rightarrow S^n$ .
2. If  $n$  is even, then antipodal  $a : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  is homotopic to the reflection  $r : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  given by  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ .
3. If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then  $S^n$  is not parallelizable.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $s : S^n \rightarrow TS^n$  be a non-vanishing vector field, so that  $s : x \mapsto (x, \tilde{s}(x))$  where  $\tilde{s} : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous map. We construct the following homotopy:

$$H : S^n \times I \longrightarrow S^n$$

$$(x, t) \longmapsto x \cos(t\pi) + \frac{\tilde{s}(x)}{\|\tilde{s}(x)\|} \sin(t\pi)$$

Indeed, as  $\langle x, \tilde{s}(x) \rangle = 0$ , therefore  $H$  is a well-defined homotopy from  $\text{id}$  to  $a$ .

2. Done in class.

3. Suppose  $n \geq 2$  is even. Assume that  $S^n$  is parallelizable. Then  $TS^n = S^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consequently,  $S^n$  admits a non-vanishing vector field, say  $f : S^n \rightarrow TS^n$ ,  $x \mapsto (x, \vec{v})$  where  $\vec{v}$  is fixed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By item 1, we get  $\text{id} \sim a$  and by item 2, we further get  $a \sim r$ . Thus  $\text{id} \sim r$ . But  $\deg \text{id} = 1$ ,  $\deg r = -1$ , a contradiction to the homotopy invariance of degree map.  $\square$

**Question 8.** Show that any vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  where  $B$  is a paracompact space has an Euclidean metric.

*Proof.* Cover  $B$  by local trivializations  $\{U_\alpha\}$  such that for each  $\alpha$ , we have isomorphisms of families:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow[\cong]{h_\alpha} & p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \swarrow p & \\ U_\alpha & & \end{array}.$$

Define on each  $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  the following Euclidean metric:

$$\mu_\alpha : p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \xrightarrow{h_\alpha^{-1}} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\sum_i x_i^2} \mathbb{R}$$

which maps as  $e \mapsto (p(e), k_\alpha(e)) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n k_{\alpha_i}(e)^2$  where  $k_\alpha(e) = (k_{\alpha_i}(e))$ . We will patch these  $\mu_\alpha$  up by using partitions of unity. First, by paracompactness of  $B$ , we may assume that  $\{U_\alpha\}$  is a locally finite cover. Consequently,  $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is a locally finite cover of  $E$ . By partitions of unity, we get maps  $\rho_\alpha : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha = 1$  and  $\text{Supp}(\rho_\alpha) \subseteq U_\alpha$ . Denote  $\sigma_\alpha = \rho_\alpha \circ p : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and observe that  $\sum_\alpha \sigma_\alpha = 1$  and  $\text{Supp}(\sigma_\alpha) \subseteq p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ . We will now patch up  $\mu_\alpha$ .

Define  $\mu = \sum_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \cdot \mu_\alpha$  which is a map  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This is well-defined by construction. We need only show that for each  $b \in B$ , the map on fibers  $E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive definite quadratic form. Indeed, by local finiteness of  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , we get that each  $b \in B$  is contained in say  $U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_{m_b}}$  and hence  $E_b \subseteq p^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}) \cap \dots \cap p^{-1}(U_{\alpha_{m_b}})$ . Consequently on fiber  $E_b$ , the map  $\mu$  is

$$\mu_b = \sum_{j=1}^{m_b} \sigma_{\alpha_j} \cdot \mu_{\alpha_j},$$

where each  $\sigma_{\alpha_j}$  is a constant function on  $E_b$  as for any  $e \in E_b$ ,  $\sigma_{\alpha_j}(e) = \rho_{\alpha_j} \circ p(e) = \rho_{\alpha_j}(b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Hence  $\mu_b$  is a positive definite quadratic form, as required.  $\square$

**Question 9** (*Alexandroff line*). Show that the Alexandroff line doesn't admit a Riemannian metric.

*Proof.* Alexandroff line  $L$  is a 1-dimensional smooth connected manifold. Recall that every Riemannian manifold has a metric space structure. But since  $L$  is not paracompact and every metric space is paracompact, therefore  $L$  cannot admit a Riemannian structure.  $\square$

**Question 10** (*Isometry theorem*). Let  $p : E \rightarrow B$  be a vector bundle and  $\mu, \mu'$  be two Euclidean metrics on  $E$ . Denote  $E = (E, \mu)$  and  $E' = (E, \mu')$ . Show that there exists an isomorphism  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  of vector bundles such that for all  $b \in B$ , the linear map  $f_b : (E_b, \mu_b) \rightarrow (E_b, \mu'_b)$  is a linear isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* Fix  $b \in B$ . Observe that for any  $\vec{v} \in E_b$ , we have  $\mu_b(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}^T A_b \vec{v}$  and  $\mu'_b(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}^T A'_b \vec{v}$  where  $A_b, A'_b$  are positive definite symmetric matrices corresponding to the positive definite quadratic forms  $\mu_b, \mu'_b : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Recall that every positive definite symmetric matrix  $M$  has a unique square root, that is, a positive definite symmetric matrix  $\sqrt{M}$  such that  $(\sqrt{M})^2 = M$ . Since a positive definite matrix is always invertible as it has all positive eigenvalues, therefore if we write

$$\begin{aligned} A_b &= \sqrt{A_b} \cdot \sqrt{A_b} \\ A'_b &= \sqrt{A'_b} \cdot \sqrt{A'_b}, \end{aligned}$$

then for  $B_b = (\sqrt{A'_b})^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{A_b}$  we get

$$B_b^T \cdot A'_b \cdot B_b = A_b.$$

We thus define a map

$$\begin{aligned} f_b : E_b &\longrightarrow E'_b \\ \vec{v} &\longmapsto B_b \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\mu'_b(f_b(\vec{v})) = (B_b \vec{v})^T A'_b (B_b \vec{v}) = \vec{v}^T B_b^T A'_b B_b \vec{v} = \vec{v}^T A_b \vec{v} = \mu_b(\vec{v})$ , hence  $f_b$  is a linear isometric isomorphism. Thus we get a function  $f : E \rightarrow E'$ , which is isomorphism on fibers. To see the continuity of  $f$ , we need only show that the mapping  $b \mapsto B_b$  is continuous as  $b$  varies in  $B$ . As the map  $b \mapsto B_b$  is the product of  $b \mapsto (\sqrt{A'_b})^{-1}$  and  $b \mapsto \sqrt{A_b}$ , and since the mapping  $b \mapsto A_b$ ,  $b \mapsto A'_b$  are continuous by continuity of  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$ , therefore it is sufficient to show that for the mapping  $M \mapsto \sqrt{M}$  for positive definite symmetric matrices  $M$  is continuous. This is immediate from power series expansion of  $\sqrt{M}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Constructions on vector bundles

**Question 11.** Let  $M, N$  be two smooth manifolds of dimension  $m$  and  $n$ . Let  $g : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map which is a submersion. Construct a subbundle  $\text{Ker}(g)$  of  $TM$  whose fibers are  $\text{Ker}(g_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_{g(x)} N)$ . If  $M$  is Riemannian, show that

$$TM \cong \text{Ker}(g) \oplus g^*(TN).$$

*Proof.* As a submersion is of locally constant rank, therefore by Theorem 3.0.1, we have the kernel bundle  $\text{Ker}(g)$ . Now suppose  $M$  is Riemannian. Let  $U$  be a common trivialization of both  $\text{Ker}(g) \oplus g^*TN$  and  $TM$ . To show the splitting, it is sufficient to show that there is a map  $h_x : T_{g(x)} N \rightarrow T_x M$  such that  $x \mapsto h_x$  is continuous and  $h_x$  is a splitting of the following s.e.s:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(g_x) \longrightarrow T_x M \xrightarrow{g_x} T_{g(x)} N \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\nwarrow \text{-----}$   
 $h_x$

Indeed, let  $\mu : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the given Euclidean metric, thus each  $T_x M$  is an inner product space. Recall from linear algebra that  $\text{Ker}(g_x) \oplus (\text{Ker}(g_x))^\perp = T_x M$ . Thus we have  $g_x : (\text{Ker}(g_x))^\perp \rightarrow T_{g(x)} N$  is an isomorphism. Let  $h_x : T_{g(x)} N \rightarrow (\text{Ker}(g_x))^\perp$  be its inverse. As taking inverse of linear isomorphisms is a continuous map, therefore  $x \mapsto h_x$  is continuous, as required.  $\square$

**Question 12.** Let  $\xi = (E, p, B)$  and  $\eta = (E', q, B)$  be two bundles of rank  $n$  and  $m$  respectively. Let  $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \eta)$  be a global section such that the map  $b \mapsto \dim \text{Im}(f(b)) : E_b \rightarrow E'_b$  is a locally constant function. Then construct  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $\text{CoKer}(f)$  two vector bundles over  $B$  whose fibers are  $\text{Ker}(f(b))$  and  $\text{CoKer}(f(b))$  respectively.

*Proof.* This is done in Theorem 3.0.1 as any  $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \eta)$  is equivalent to the data of a vector bundle map  $f : \xi \rightarrow \eta$ .  $\square$

**Question 13.** If a vector bundle  $\xi = (E, p, B)$  admits a Euclidean metric, then it is isomorphic to the dual  $\mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Euclidean metric and  $\xi$  be of rank  $n$ . Let  $U \subseteq B$  be a common local trivialization of both  $E$  and  $\mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1)$ . We then have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow[h \cong]{} & p^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{f} & \pi^{-1}(U) & \xleftarrow[k \cong]{} & U \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \\ & \searrow \pi_1 & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \pi & & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & & U & \xlongequal{\quad} & U & & \end{array}$$

where we define  $f$  by defining the map  $k^{-1} \circ f \circ h : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  as follows:

$$k^{-1} \circ f \circ h : (b, \vec{v}) \mapsto (b, \langle \vec{v}, - \rangle_b)$$

where  $\langle -, - \rangle_b$  is the inner product on  $E_b$  defined by the positive definite quadratic form  $\mu_b : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . As  $\mu : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, therefore the  $\langle -, - \rangle_b : E_b \times E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is continuous in  $b \in B$  and thus the above map  $k^{-1} \circ f \circ h$  is continuous. This defines a global continuous map  $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1)$ . To show that  $f$  is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to show that  $f_b : E_b \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_b, \mathbb{R})$  is a linear isomorphism for each  $b$ . Indeed, this is clear as  $E_b$  is an inner-product space, therefore the map  $f_b : e \mapsto \langle e, - \rangle_b$  is an isomorphism by Riesz-representation theorem, as required.  $\square$

**Question 14.** Construct the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(B)$  of a space  $B$  and show that those elements of  $\text{Pic}(B)$  of order  $\leq 2$  are equivalent to Euclidean line bundles on  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Pic}(B)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles over  $B$ . For two line bundles  $\xi = (L_1, \pi_1, B)$  and  $\eta = (L_2, \pi_2, B)$ , we define  $\xi \otimes \eta$  to be the tensor product bundle  $(L_1 \otimes L_2, \pi, B)$ . As rank of  $L_1 \otimes L_2$  is equal to product of ranks of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , therefore  $\pi : L_1 \otimes L_2 \rightarrow B$  is also a line bundle. As  $\xi \otimes \eta \cong \eta \otimes \xi$ , therefore we have a well-defined commutative product on  $\text{Pic}(B)$ . To show group structure, it suffices to show that  $\xi \otimes \epsilon^1 \cong \xi$  and the existence of inverses. Indeed, define  $\varphi : \xi \otimes \epsilon^1 \rightarrow \xi$  which on fiber at  $b \in B$  is  $L_{1,b} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_{1,b}$  mapping as  $e \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda e$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism on fibers. To see continuity, consider the following diagram for a common trivializing open  $U \subseteq B$  for both the bundles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U \times \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow[k \cong]{} & \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi^{-1}(U) & \xleftarrow[h \cong]{} & U \times \mathbb{R} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & U & \xlongequal{\quad} & U & & \end{array}.$$

As the horizontal map can be checked is equal to  $(b, \lambda \otimes \gamma) \mapsto (b, \lambda \gamma)$ , which is continuous, therefore  $\varphi$  is continuous. Hence,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, as required.

Next suppose  $\xi = (L, \pi, B)$  is a line bundle and let  $\check{\xi} = \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1)$  be the dual bundle. Note that  $\check{\xi}$  is also a line bundle. We claim that  $\xi \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1) \cong \epsilon^1$ . Indeed, define the map  $\varphi : \xi \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\xi, \epsilon^1) \rightarrow \epsilon^1$  which on fiber at  $b \in B$  is defined as  $L_{1,b} \otimes \text{Hom}(L_{1,b}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varphi(e)$ . Clearly, this is an isomorphism on fibers. To show continuity, take  $U \ni b$  a trivializing neighborhood of  $b$  for both the bundles. By drawing the similar diagram as above, we conclude that  $\varphi$  is continuous and isomorphism on fibers, hence an isomorphism.

Now pick  $\xi = (L, \pi, B)$  to be a Euclidean line bundle on  $B$  which is not trivial. We then claim that  $\xi \otimes \xi \cong \epsilon^1$ . Indeed, first observe that for any inner product space  $V$ , there is an isomorphism  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $v \otimes w \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle$ . We use this to define an isomorphism  $\xi \otimes \xi \cong \epsilon^1$ . Define  $\varphi : \xi \otimes \xi \rightarrow \epsilon^1$  on fiber at  $b \in B$  by  $L_{1,b} \otimes L_{1,b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e \otimes f \mapsto \langle e, f \rangle_b$  where  $\langle -, - \rangle_b$  is the inner product on fibers given by the Euclidean structure. Clearly  $\varphi$  is a fiber isomorphism. We need only show that it is continuous. Indeed, drawing the same diagram as above one immediately sees this. Hence,  $\xi$  is an order 2 element of  $\text{Pic}(B)$ .

Conversely, pick an order 2 element  $\xi \in \text{Pic}(B)$ . Then, there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : \xi \otimes \xi \rightarrow \epsilon^1$ . It follows that we have an isomorphism  $\varphi_b : L_b \otimes L_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which varies continuously on  $b$ . As  $L_b \otimes L_b \cong \mathbb{R}$ , therefore we have  $\varphi_b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\varphi_b$  is positive definite. To this end, we need to show that  $\varphi_b(\lambda^2) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . As  $\varphi_b(\lambda^2) = \lambda^2 \varphi_b(1)$ , therefore we need only show that  $\varphi_b(1) > 0$ . Observe that the map  $b \mapsto \varphi_b(1)$  is continuous and since each  $\varphi_b$  is an isomorphism, therefore by intermediate value theorem, either  $\varphi_b(1) > 0$  or  $< 0$  for each  $b \in B$ . If  $\varphi_b(1) < 0$ , we may replace  $\varphi$  by  $-\varphi$ . Hence we have  $\varphi_b(1) > 0$  for all  $b \in B$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.0.1** (Theorem 8.2 of Husemoller). *Let  $(E', \pi', B')$  and  $(E, \pi, B)$  be vector bundles of ranks  $n$  and  $m$  respectively and  $(f, g) : (E', \pi', B') \rightarrow (E, \pi, B)$  be a map of vector bundles where  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  is of locally constant rank. Then, there exists bundles  $K_g$  over  $B'$  and  $C_g$  over  $B$  such that fiber of  $K_g$  and  $C_g$  at  $x \in B$  is  $\text{Ker}(g_x : E'_x \rightarrow E_{g(x)})$  and  $\text{CoKer}(g_x : E'_x \rightarrow E_{g(x)})$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Define  $K_g = \coprod_{x \in B'} \text{Ker}(f_x : E'_x \rightarrow E_{g(x)})$  and  $C_g = \coprod_{x \in B} \text{CoKer}(f_x : E'_x \rightarrow E_{g(x)})$ . Give  $K_g$  the subspace topology of  $E'$ . It is thus sufficient to show that  $\pi' : K_g \rightarrow B'$  is locally trivial. To this end, pick any point  $b \in B'$ . There exists trivializing neighborhood  $U \ni b$  and  $V \ni g(b)$  such that  $g^{-1}(V) = U$  such that  $f : \pi'^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(V)$  is of constant rank  $k$ . We thus have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow[\cong]{h} & \pi'^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{f} & \pi^{-1}(V) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{k} & V \times \mathbb{R}^m \\ & \searrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi & & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & & U & \xrightarrow{g} & V & & \end{array}.$$

Let the horizontal composite be  $u : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{R}^m$  and denote for each  $b \in U$  the corresponding linear map as  $u_b : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

We now have the following split exact sequences for each  $x \in U$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(u_x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{u_x} \text{Im}(u_x) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(u_x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \text{CoKer}(u_x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thu for a fixed  $b_0 \in U$ , we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^n &= V_1 \oplus V_2 \\ \mathbb{R}^m &= W_1 \oplus W_2\end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1 \cong \text{Im}(u_{b_0})$ ,  $V_2 = \text{Ker}(u_{b_0})$ ,  $W_1 = \text{Im}(u_{b_0})$  and  $W_2 \cong \text{CoKer}(u_{b_0})$ . Now construct the following linear map for each  $x \in U$ :

$$V = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus W_2 = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus W_2 \xrightarrow{w_x} W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus V_2 = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus V_2 = W,$$

where  $w_x$  on  $V_1$  is  $u_x$ , on  $V_2$  is  $u_x \oplus \text{id}_{V_2}$  and on  $W_2$  is  $\text{id}_{W_2}$ . Note that  $w_{b_0}$  is a linear isomorphism. Since  $u_x$  is continuous in  $x$  and isomorphisms form an open subset of linear maps, hence we may assume by shrinking  $U$  appropriately that  $w_x$  is isomorphism for all  $x \in U$ . Let  $v_x : W \rightarrow V$  be the inverse of  $w_x$ . Note that  $x \mapsto v_x$  is also continuous.

Using  $w_x$ , we show that  $K_g$  is locally trivial. Indeed, a vector  $(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus V_2$  is in  $\text{Ker}(g_x : E'_x \rightarrow E_{g(x)})$  if and only if  $w_x(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, 0) = (0, \vec{v}_2, 0)$ . Thus,  $(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \in \text{Ker}(f_x)$  if and only if  $v_x(0, \vec{v}_2, 0) = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$ . Hence the map

$$\begin{aligned}U \times V_2 &\longrightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{h} \pi'^{-1}(U) \\ (x, \vec{v}_2) &\longmapsto (x, v_x(0, \vec{v}_2, 0)) \longmapsto h(x, v_x(0, \vec{v}_2, 0))\end{aligned}$$

maps  $U \times V_2$  isomorphically onto  $\pi'^{-1}(U) \cap K_g$ , thus giving a local trivialization of  $K_g$ , as required.

Finally, we show that  $C_g$  is locally trivial. Observe that  $\text{Im}(u_x) \cap W_2 = 0$ . Indeed, if not then for some  $(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus V_2$ , we have  $u_x(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \in W_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then,  $u_x(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, y) = 0$  and by injectivity of  $u_x$ , we conclude that  $\vec{v}_i = 0$ . Hence, we may define

$$\begin{aligned}V \times W_2 &\longrightarrow V \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \\ (x, \vec{w}_2) &\longmapsto (x, \vec{w}_2 + \text{Im}(u_x)).\end{aligned}$$

This gives the required local trivialization for  $C_g$ . □

## 4 Stiefel-Whitney classes & Grassmannian

**Question 15.** Show that for two vector bundles  $\xi = (E_1, \pi_1, B_1)$  and  $\eta = (E_2, \pi_2, B_2)$ , we have

$$w_k(\xi \times \eta) = \sum_{i=0}^k w_i(\xi) \times w_{k-i}(\eta)$$

where  $\times$  denotes the cohomology cross product.

*Proof.* Recall that  $\xi \times \eta = p_1^* \xi \oplus p_2^* \eta$  where  $p_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $p_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  are projections. □

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_k(\xi \times \eta) &= w_k(p_1^* \xi \oplus p_2^* \eta) = \sum_{i=0}^k w_i(p_1^* \xi) \smile w_{k-i}(p_2^* \eta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k p_1^*(w_i(\xi)) \smile p_2^*(w_{k-i}(\eta)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k w_i(\xi) \times w_{k-i}(\eta).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Question 16.** Show that for  $n + 1 = 2^r m$  where  $m \geq 3$  is odd, there are no  $2^r$  everywhere independent vector fields on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2^r}$  be  $2^r$ -everywhere independent vector fields on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . These define independent sections  $X_i : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow T\mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence, this defines a trivial subbundle  $E \subseteq T\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank  $2^r$ . As  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is compact, therefore  $T\mathbb{P}^n$  has an Euclidean metric. Consequently, there exists  $E^\perp \subseteq T\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank  $n - 2^r = 2^r m - 1 - 2^r = 2^r(m - 1) - 1$  such that

$$E \oplus E^\perp = T\mathbb{P}^n.$$

By Whitney product formula, we have

$$w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = w(E) \cdot w(E^\perp)$$

where the product is in  $H^\Pi(B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . As  $E$  is trivial, therefore  $w(E) = 1$ . Hence,  $w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = w(E^\perp)$ . Note  $w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = (1 + a)^{n+1} = (1 + a)^{2^r m} = ((1 + a)^{2^r})^m = (1 + a^{2^r})^m$ . This has largest term given by  $m \cdot a^{2^r(m-1)}$ . This is non-zero as  $m$  is odd, so mod 2 it is non-zero. On the other hand, the largest possible non-zero term of  $w(E^\perp)$  is  $a^{2^r(m-1)-1}$  by above. This contradicts the conclusion that  $w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = w(E^\perp)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Question 17.** Show that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  admits a field of tangent 1-planes if and only if  $n$  is odd. Show that  $\mathbb{P}^4$  and  $\mathbb{P}^6$  doesn't admit a field of tangent 2-planes.

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the subbundle of  $T\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank 1. We show that  $n$  is odd. Note we have a decomposition  $T\mathbb{P}^n \cong E \oplus E^\perp$ , where  $E^\perp$  has rank  $n - 1$ . By product formula, we have

$$(1 + a)^{n+1} = w(E) \cdot w(E^\perp).$$

We have two cases. First, if  $w(E) = 1$ , then  $w(E^\perp) = (1 + a)^{n+1}$ . As the largest possible degree term of  $(1 + a)^{n+1}$  is  $(n + 1)a^n$  and for  $w(E^\perp)$  the largest possible degree term is  $a^{n-1}$ , thus we must have  $n + 1 = 0 \pmod{2}$ , that is,  $n$  is odd. In the second case,  $w(E) = 1 + a$ . Then,  $w(E^\perp) = (1 + a)^n$ , whose largest non-zero term is  $a^n$ . But the largest non-zero term of  $w(E^\perp)$  must be  $a^{n-1}$ , a contradiction. Conversely, if  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathbb{P}^n$  admits a non-vanishing vector field as  $S^n$  has a non-vanishing vector field for  $n$  odd. This shows the first part.

Suppose  $E \subseteq T\mathbb{P}^4$  is a rank 2 subbundle of  $T\mathbb{P}^4$ . Then, we have  $E \oplus E^\perp \cong T\mathbb{P}^4$ , where  $E^\perp$  is also rank 2. By product formula,

$$(1+a)^5 = 1+a+a^4 = w(E) \cdot w(E^\perp).$$

As  $w(E), w(E^\perp) = 1, 1+a, 1+a^2, 1+a+a^2$ , one then easily sees that none of their product ever gives  $1+a+a^4$ , as required.

Similarly, if  $T\mathbb{P}^6 \cong E \oplus E^\perp$  where  $E$  and  $E^\perp$  are of rank 2 and 4 respectively, then

$$(1+a)^7 = w(E) \cdot w(E^\perp).$$

Now,  $w(E) = 1, 1+a, 1+a^2, 1+a+a^2$ . One then again checks similar to the  $\mathbb{P}^4$  case that in all cases for  $w(E)$  we get a contradiction.  $\square$

**Question 18.** If an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  can be immersed into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then show that  $w_k(M) = w_1(M)^{\smile k}$ . If  $\mathbb{P}^n$  can be immersed into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then  $n = 2^r - 1$  or  $n = 2^r - 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $NM \rightarrow M$  be the normal bundle of rank 1, hence either  $w(NM) = 1$  or  $1+b$ , for  $b = w_1(NM) \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . As  $TM \oplus NM \cong \epsilon^{n+1}$ , therefore if  $w(NM) = 1$ , then  $w(TM) = 1$  and hence  $w_1(TM) = 0$  and hence  $w_i(TM) = w_1(TM)^{\smile k}$  vacuously. On the other hand, if  $w(NM) = 1+b$ , then

$$w(TM) = \overline{w(NM)} = \overline{1+b} = 1+b+b^2+\dots+b^n.$$

From above expression, we deduce that  $w_1(NM) = w_1(TM) = b$ . Hence for any  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $w_k(TM) = w_1(TM)^k$ , as required.

For the second statement, suppose  $\mathbb{P}^n$  immerses into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Thus we have a splitting

$$T\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \oplus L \cong \epsilon^{n+1},$$

where  $L$  is a line bundle. Thus we have

$$w(T\mathbb{P}^n) \cdot w(L) = 1.$$

Now either  $w(L) = 1$  or  $1+a$ . Hence,  $w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$  or  $(1+a)^{-1}$ . In the former, by the theorem that says  $w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$  if and only if  $n+1 = 2^r$ , we deduce that  $n = 2^r - 1$ . In the latter, we have  $(1+a)^{n+1} = w(T\mathbb{P}^n) = (1+a)^{-1}$ . Consequently,  $(1+a)^{n+2} = 1$ . If  $n+2$  is not a power of 2, then we have  $n+2 = 2^r m$  where  $m > 1$  is odd. Expanding  $(1+a)^{n+2}$ , we get

$$1 = (1+a)^{n+2} = (1+a)^{2^r m} = (1+a^{2^r})^m = 1 + ma^{2^r} + \dots$$

This yields that  $m$  is even, a contradiction, as required.  $\square$

**Question 19** (*Unoriented cobordism group*). Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  denote the collection of all  $n$ -dimensional closed manifolds. Denote

$$\Omega_n^O = \mathcal{M}_n / \sim$$

where  $M \sim N$  if and only if there exists  $W$  an  $n+1$ -dimensional compact manifold such that  $\partial W = M \amalg N$ . The set  $\Omega_n^O$  is called the unoriented cobordism group.



1. Show that  $\Omega_n^O$  is an abelian group under disjoint union.
2. Show that  $\Omega_n^O$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space.
3. Show that  $\Omega_4^O$  has atleast four distinct elements.

*Proof.* 1. Define

$$[M] + [N] := [M \amalg N].$$

We first show that this is well-defined. If  $[M] = [M']$  and  $[N] = [N']$ , then there exists  $A, B$  compact  $n+1$ -dimensional manifolds such that  $\partial A = M \amalg M'$  and  $\partial B = N \amalg N'$ . Consequently,  $A \amalg B$  is a compact  $n+1$ -dimensional manifold with boundary  $\partial(A \amalg B) = \partial A \amalg \partial B = M \amalg M' \amalg N \amalg N'$ . Hence,  $[M \amalg N] = [M' \amalg N']$ , as required. Associativity and commutativity is immediate. Moreover, identity of  $\Omega_n^O$  is given by the empty manifold  $\emptyset$ , which is considered to be a manifold of every dimension. Finally the additive inverse of  $[M]$  is given by  $[M]$  itself since  $M \amalg M$  is the boundary of  $M \times I$ .

2. Since for any  $[M] \in \Omega_n^O$ , we have  $[M] + [M] = 0$ , hence we have a natural  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space structure on  $\Omega_n^O$ . To show finite dimensionality, it is sufficient to show that  $\Omega_n^O$  is finite. Indeed, by Thom-Pontryagin theory, two closed  $n$ -manifolds  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_n$  give  $[M] = [N]$  if and only if all of their Stiefel-Whitney numbers are same. As there are only finitely many possibilities for Stiefel-Whitney numbers for a given closed  $n$ -manifold, therefore there can atmost be finitely many cobordism classes with different Stiefel-Whitney numbers. Hence there are only finitely many cobordism classes, as required.

3. We will show that  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^4$  are not cobordant. It will then follow that  $\Omega_4^O$  has atleast three elements. Since  $\Omega_n^O$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space, therefore it must then atleast have four elements, as required. To this end, it suffices to show that there exists a Stiefel-Whitney monomial which evaluates to different numbers for  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . We first calculate the Stiefel-Whitney classes for both these spaces.

For  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , we have

$$w(\mathbb{P}^4) = (1 + a)^5 = 1 + a + a^4$$

where  $a \in H^1(\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the non-zero term. On the other hand, the tangent bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  is given by the Cartesian product  $T\mathbb{P}^2 \times T\mathbb{P}^2$ . If  $p, q : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{P}^2$  are the coordinate projections, then we can write this Cartesian product as

$$T\mathbb{P}^2 \times T\mathbb{P}^2 = p^*(T\mathbb{P}^2) \oplus q^*(T\mathbb{P}^2).$$

Consequently, by naturality of Stiefel-Whitney classes, we must have

$$w(T\mathbb{P}^2 \times T\mathbb{P}^2) = p^*(w(T\mathbb{P}^2)) \cdot q^*(w(T\mathbb{P}^2)).$$

As  $p^* = q^*$ , we further have

$$w(T\mathbb{P}^2 \times T\mathbb{P}^2) = p^*(w(T\mathbb{P}^2) \cdot w(T\mathbb{P}^2)).$$

Let  $b \in H^1(\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be the non-zero element. Then  $w(T\mathbb{P}^2) = (1 + b)^3 = 1 + b + b^2$ . Consequently, we have

$$w(T\mathbb{P}^2 \times T\mathbb{P}^2) = p^*((1 + b + b^2)^2) = p^*(1 + b^2) = 1 + (p^*b)^2.$$

Now, consider the Stiefel-Whitney monomial  $w_4$ . We claim that  $w_4[\mathbb{P}^4] \neq 0$ . Indeed, as  $H^4(\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H_4(\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbb{Z}_2)$  by the evaluation map and since  $w_4(\mathbb{P}^4) \neq 0$ , hence  $w_4[\mathbb{P}^4] \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $w_4(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) = 0$ , as calculated above. Thus,  $w_4[\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2] = 0$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^4$  have different Stiefel-Whitney number corresponding to the top monomial  $w_4$ , hence they are not cobordant, as required.  $\square$

**Question 20** (*Smooth structure on  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$* ). Show that  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $k(n - k)$ .

*Proof.* We'll show that  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$  is a closed subamifold of  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^k \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} P : \text{Gr}_k(n) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \wedge^k V \\ \Lambda &\longmapsto [v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  has basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ . This is well-defined as if  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  forms another basis of  $\Lambda$ , then  $w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k = d \cdot (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)$  where  $d$  is the determinant of the change of basis matrix, and thus they determine same point in  $\mathbb{P} \wedge^k V$ .

We next wish to write  $P$  in projective coordinates of  $\mathbb{P} \wedge^k V$ . To this end, fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$ . Writing each  $v_i$  in this basis, we deduce that the  $k$ -plane  $\Lambda$  is the row space of the  $k \times n$  matrix  $A_\Lambda$  whose rows are  $v_i$ . We can then write

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k = \sum_{I \in \text{Inc}(k, n)} p_I e_I$$

where  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is an increasing sequence of elements from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$  forms the basis of  $\wedge^k V$  and  $p_I = \det A_\Lambda[I]$ , the  $k \times k$ -minor of  $A_\Lambda$  determined by columns with index  $I$ . In projective coordinates (of which there are  ${}^nC_k$  many), the map  $P$  is merely

$$P : \Lambda \mapsto [p_I]_{I \in \text{Inc}(k, n)}$$

where  $p_I = \det A_\Lambda[I]$  is a polynomial in the entries of a general  $k \times n$  matrix.

We first wish to show that this function is injective. Indeed, if  $P(\Lambda) = P(\Lambda')$ , then  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k = d \cdot w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k$  for  $d \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  where  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $\Lambda$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  is a basis of  $\Lambda'$ . If  $[p_I]_I$  and  $[q_I]_I$  are projective coordinates of  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$  and  $w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k$  respectively, then  $p_I = d \cdot q_I$ . It follows that every  $k \times k$  minor of  $A_\Lambda$  is a common multiple of the same minor of  $A_{\Lambda'}$ . Consequently,  $A_\Lambda$  and  $A_{\Lambda'}$  have same row space, as required.

The map  $P$  embeds  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^k V)$ . We next claim that  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$  is in-fact a closed subspace. We need only show that the image of  $P$  is closed. To this end, we first claim that

$$\text{Im } P = \left\{ [\eta] \in \mathbb{P}(\wedge^k V) \mid \dim \text{Im } V \xrightarrow{\wedge^\eta} \wedge^{k+1} V \leq n - k \right\}.$$

Indeed, image of  $P$  consists of classes of all those  $\eta \in \wedge^k V$  where  $\eta = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$  for  $v_i \in V$ , i.e.  $\eta$  is a pure tensor. The vector  $\eta$  is of this form if and only if  $\dim \text{Ker} \left( V \xrightarrow{\wedge^\eta} \wedge^k V \right) \geq k$  and hence the desired claim follows.

As  $\wedge^\eta$  is a linear map, therefore  $\dim \text{Im } V \xrightarrow{\wedge^\eta} \wedge^{k+1} V \leq n - k$  if and only if all  $n - k + 1$  minors of  $\wedge^\eta$  are 0. This is a closed condition, as required.  $\square$

**Question 21** (Tangent bundle of  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{V}^k \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(n)$  be the universal  $k$ -plane bundle on the Grassmannian of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

1. Show that the tangent bundle of  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}^k, \mathcal{Q})$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{V}^k$  in  $\epsilon^n$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{Q} = \epsilon^n / \mathcal{V}^k$  is the universal quotient  $n - k$ -plane bundle over  $\text{Gr}_k(n)$ .

2. Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $k$  with normal bundle  $\nu$ . If  $g : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(n)$  is the Gauss map of  $M$ , then show that  $g$  determines a unique global section of the bundle  $\mathcal{H}om(TM \otimes TM, \nu)$ .

*Proof.* 1. We denote  $G = \text{Gr}(k, V)$  and  $p : TG \rightarrow G$  and  $q : \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow G$  be the two given rank  $k(n - k)$  bundles. Let  $\Gamma \subseteq V$  be an  $n - k$  plane of  $V$  and consider the open affine patch  $U_\Gamma$  of all  $k$ -planes linearly disjoint to  $\Gamma$ . For a fixed  $\Omega \in U_\Gamma$ , we have  $U_\Gamma = \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ . Then,  $TG|_{U_\Gamma} = U_\Gamma \times \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma)$  since  $TG$  is trivial over any affine chart of  $G$ . Our first claim is that fibers of  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q})$  at  $\Omega \in U_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $(TG)_\Omega$ . Indeed, as  $(TG)_\Omega = \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ , therefore we need only show that  $\mathcal{V}_\Omega = \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\Omega = \Gamma$ . To this end, by construction  $\mathcal{V}_\Omega = \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\Omega = V/\Omega = \Gamma$  since  $V = \Omega \oplus \Gamma$ . Consequently we have isomorphism

$$\varphi_\Omega : (TG)_\Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q})_\Omega$$

for each  $\Omega \in G$ . We claim that these define a bundle isomorphism. To this end, we need only show that transition maps  $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$  that both the bundle induces are isomorphic for any two affine open patches  $U_\Gamma, U_{\Gamma'}$  of  $G$ . To this end, we first observe the transition maps for  $TG$ . Recall that transitions for tangent bundle comes from the derivative of transition maps of the base manifold. As the transition of the  $G$  from  $U_\Gamma$  to  $U_{\Gamma'}$  is given by (denote  $U = U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'}$ )

$$\psi : U_\Gamma = \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma) \longrightarrow U_{\Gamma'} = \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma')$$

which is obtained by the composite linear isomorphisms  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\alpha} V/\Omega \xrightarrow{\beta^{-1}} \Gamma'$ , the transition map of  $TG$  is the differential of  $\psi$ :

$$d\psi : U \times \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma) \rightarrow U \times \text{Hom}(\Omega, \Gamma')$$

which is again same as  $\psi$  on second factor as  $\psi$  is linear. We next wish to show that  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q})$  has the same transitions. Indeed, by theory of continuous functor it is immediate that the transition of  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q})$  on  $U$  is same as  $d\psi$ .

2. Given the map  $g : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(n)$  which maps  $x \mapsto T_x M$ , we get the map on tangent bundles

$$dg : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}^k, \mathcal{Q})$$

which takes  $(x, \vec{v}) \mapsto dg(x, \vec{v})$  where  $dg(x, \vec{v})$  is a bundle map given by (note  $\mathcal{V}_{T_x M}^k = T_x M$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{T_x M} = V/T_x M = \nu_x$ )

$$dg(x, \vec{v}) : T_x M \longrightarrow \nu_x.$$

It follows that we have a bundle map  $dg : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(TM, nu)$ . Consequently,  $g$  gives a global section  $dg$  of  $\mathcal{H}om(TM, \mathcal{H}om(TM, \nu)) \cong \mathcal{H}om(TM \otimes TM, \nu)$  and this section is unique w.r.t. the property that it is the differential of the map  $g : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(n)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Question 22** (Universal property of Grassmannians). Let  $B$  be a paracompact space. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between maps  $B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  to Grassmannian of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and collection of  $k$ -plane bundles over  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Bun}_k(B)$  be the collection of all  $k$ -plane bundles over  $B$  and  $\mathcal{V}^k$  be the universal  $k$ -plane bundle over  $\text{Gr}_k$ . Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(B, \text{Gr}_k) &\longrightarrow \text{Bun}_k(B) \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \varphi^*(\mathcal{V}^k). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that this map is a bijection. We first show injectivity. If we have bundle equality  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{V}^k) = \psi^*(\mathcal{V}^k)$ , then we at once have  $\varphi = \psi$ . The difficult part now is to show that the above map is surjective.

Let  $\xi = (E, p, B)$  be a  $k$ -plane bundle over  $B$ . We wish to construct  $\varphi : B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  such that  $\varphi^*\mathcal{V}^k = \xi$ . By Lemma 5.9 of Milnor-Stasheff, it follows by paracompactness that there exists a countable cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $B$  such that restriction of  $\xi$  to  $U_i$  is trivial. By partitions of unity, there exists maps  $\rho_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $W_i \subseteq \overline{W_i} \subseteq V_i \subseteq \overline{V_i} \subseteq U_i$  such that  $\rho_i = 1$  on  $\overline{W_i}$ ,  $\text{Supp}(\rho_i) = \overline{V_i}$  and  $V_i$  covers  $B$ . Let  $h_i$  be the composition of local trivialization with projection:

$$h_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Note that  $h_{i,b} : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a linear isomorphism. We then extend  $h_i$  to whole of  $E$  by using  $\rho_i$  as follows; define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_i : E &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \\ e &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \rho_i(p(e))h_i(e) & \text{if } p(e) \in U_i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we have a countable family of maps  $\{\hat{h}_i\}$ . Using the we construct the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f} : E &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \cdots = \mathbb{R}^\infty \\ e &\longmapsto (\hat{h}_1(e), \hat{h}_2(e), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This is continuous as it is coordinatewise so. Moreover, for  $b \in B$ , the restriction

$$\hat{f} : E_b \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

is linear and injective. Indeed, linearity is immediate and if for some  $e \in E_b$ , we have  $\hat{f}(e) = 0$ , then since for some  $i$ ,  $\rho_i(p(e)) \neq 0$ , hence  $h_i(e) = 0$ . By injectivity of  $h_i$  on  $E_b$ , it follows that  $e = 0$ , as required.

We finally construct the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{V}^k \\ p \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{Gr}_k \end{array}$$

by first defining  $f$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f : E &\longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^k \\ e &\longmapsto (\hat{f}(E_{p(e)}), \hat{f}(e)) \end{aligned}$$

which then induces the map  $\varphi : b \mapsto \hat{f}(E_b)$ . Observe further that  $f$  is an isomorphism on fibers as  $f_b : E_b \rightarrow \hat{f}(E_b)$  is the map  $\hat{f} : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , which is proved to be injective. To complete the proof, we need only show that  $f$  is continuous.

To see continuity of  $f$ , it is sufficient to show that  $f$  composed with local trivializations of  $\xi$  are continuous. Indeed, let  $k_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be the local trivialization of  $\xi$  as stated in the beginning. Then, we claim that the map  $f \circ k_i^{-1}$  is continuous. Indeed, we have

$$f \circ k_i^{-1} : (b, \vec{v}) \longmapsto (\hat{f}(E_b), \hat{f}(k_i^{-1}(b, \vec{v}))).$$

This is continuous as both factors are so by continuity of  $\hat{f}$  and  $k_i^{-1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Cohomology ring of Grassmannian

**Question 23.** Show that the inclusion  $i : \text{Gr}_k(n) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\infty)$  induces an isomorphism

$$i^* : H^p(\text{Gr}_k(\infty); R) \longrightarrow H^p(\text{Gr}_k(n); R)$$

for every  $p < n - k$  and for any ring  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \text{Gr}_k(\infty)$  and  $A = \text{Gr}_k(n)$ . From the long exact sequence of pairs, we get the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^p(X, A; R) \rightarrow H^p(X; R) \rightarrow H^p(A; R) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(X, A; R) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

We claim that  $H^{p+1}(X, A; R) = 0 = H^p(X, A; R)$ . Indeed, pick  $0 \leq q \leq n - k$ . We will show that the relative cellular chain group  $C_q(X, A; R)$  is 0. This is sufficient as then  $H^q(X, A; R) = 0$  and thus the above exact sequence  $i^*$  will be an isomorphism.

We have

$$C_q(X, A; R) = \frac{C_q(X; R)}{C_q(A; R)} = \frac{R^d}{R^e} = R^{d-e}$$

where  $d$  and  $e$  are the number of  $q$ -cells in  $X$  and  $A$  respectively. As

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \#\{n - k \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_k \geq 1 \mid a_1 + \cdots + a_k = q\} \\ e &= \#\{\infty > b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_k \geq 1 \mid b_1 + \cdots + b_k = q\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $q \leq n - k$ , therefore  $b_1 \leq n - k$  always. Hence  $d = e$  and thus  $C_q(X, A; R) = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

**Question 24.** Let  $f : \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$  be defined by  $X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \oplus X$ .

1. Show that  $f$  is an embedding.

2. Show that there is a fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^k & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{V}^{k+1} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Gr}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n) \end{array} .$$

3. Let  $e(\vec{\sigma})$  be an  $r$ -cell of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  determined by the Schubert symbol  $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$  with the partition of  $r$  being  $(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ . Show that  $f(e(\sigma))$  is also an  $r$ -cell of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$  with the partition of  $r$  being same  $(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ .

*Proof.* 1. We have to show that  $f$  is injective, smooth, homeomorphic to its image and an immersion. Injectivity is immediate since if  $\mathbb{R} \oplus X = \mathbb{R} \oplus Y$  in  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $X = Y$ . For smoothness, we use Plücker coordinates. Observe that we have a map  $\wedge^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \wedge^{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$  which maps on the basis vector as  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mapsto e_{n+1} \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$ . This defines a map  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^k \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n))$ . Clearly, this is a smooth map as it is so coordinatewise. This further restricts to the closed subspace  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the map becomes  $[v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k] \mapsto [e_{n+1} \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k]$ . This shows smoothness of  $f$ . The map  $f$  is homeomorphic to its image as  $f$  is injective and  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is already compact. We need only show  $f$  is an immersion. Indeed the map on tangent spaces induced by  $f$  is

$$df : \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}^k, \mathcal{Q}^{n-k}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}^{k+1}, \mathcal{Q}^{n-k})$$

which defined on  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  maps

$$\begin{aligned} df_\Lambda : \mathcal{H}om(\Lambda, V/\Lambda) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda, V/\Lambda) \\ \varphi &\longmapsto 0 \oplus \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly an injective map, as required. This completes the proof that  $f$  is an embedding.

2. Define the map  $g : \epsilon^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{k+1}$  on fiber at  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as follows; define

$$g_\Lambda : \epsilon_\Lambda^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_\Lambda^k = \mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda}^{k+1} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda$$

to be identity. Then clearly this defines a continuous map  $g : \epsilon^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{k+1}$  which is furthermore isomorphism on fibers. As  $g$  makes the square commute, therefore  $g$  provides the required fiber square.

3. Let  $\vec{\sigma} : 1 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_k \leq n$  be a Schubert symbol for  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $e(\sigma)$  be the open cell of dimension  $r = \sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_2 - 2 + \dots + \sigma_k - k$  that it determines. We claim that

$$f(e(\vec{\sigma})) = e(\vec{\tau})$$

where  $\vec{\tau} = (1, \sigma_1 + 1, \sigma_2 + 1, \dots, \sigma_k + 1)$  in  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$ . To this end, observe that if

$$0 \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the complete flag for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$0 \subset \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \dots \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a complete flag for  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ . We now have

$$\begin{aligned} f(e(\vec{\sigma})) &= \{\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda \mid \Lambda \in e(\vec{\sigma})\} \\ &= \{\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda \mid \dim \Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_i} = i \text{ \& } \dim \Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{i-1}} = i - 1\} \\ &= \{\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda \mid \dim(\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda) \cap (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_i}) = i + 1 \text{ \& } \dim(\mathbb{R} \oplus \Lambda) \cap (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{i-1}}) = i\} \\ &= e(\vec{\tau}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Now, the dimension of  $e(\vec{\tau})$  is

$$\dim e(\vec{\tau}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \tau_i - i = 0 + \sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_2 - 2 + \dots + \sigma_k - k = r = \dim e(\vec{\sigma})$$

and from this its also clear that the partition of  $r$  that  $\vec{\tau}$  gives rise to is same as that of  $\vec{\sigma}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Question 25.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional manifold. Show that the number of distinct Stiefel-Whitney numbers for  $M$  is  $p(n)$ , i.e. the number of unordered positive partitions of integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* Our first claim is that a Stiefel-Whitney number is determined by the corresponding Stiefel-Whitney monomial, that is, the monomial  $w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}$  determines the number  $w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}[M] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  completely. Indeed, for  $M$ , the SW-number corresponding to  $w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}$  is given by

$$\langle w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}(TM), \mu_M \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

But since the Kronecker pairing

$$H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} \mathbb{Z}_2$$

is non-degenerate, therefore we have an isomorphism

$$H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Thus SW-number corresponding to  $w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}$  is 1 if and only if  $w_1^{r_1} \dots w_n^{r_n}(TM) \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is 1. Similarly for 0. Hence we need only count the number of SW-monomials. Indeed, the no. of SW-monomials is same as the size of the set

$$A = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mid r_i \geq 0 \text{ \& } r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = n\}.$$

We claim that there is a bijection from  $B$  to  $A$  where

$$B = \{(i_1, \dots, i_s) \mid i_j \geq 1 \text{ \& } i_1 + \dots + i_s = n\}.$$

Indeed, define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : B &\longrightarrow A \\ I = (i_1, \dots, i_s) &\longmapsto (r_1, \dots, r_n) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_j = \#$  of  $j$  in  $I$ . Then clearly,  $\sum_{j=1}^n jr_j = n$ . Converse is also immediate. Hence  $\varphi$  is a bijection and thus  $\#A = \#B = p(n)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Question 26.** Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be two bundles of rank  $n$  and  $m$ . Show that there is a polynomial  $p$  in  $n + m$  variables such that

1. we have

$$w(\xi \otimes \eta) = p(w_1(\xi), \dots, w_n(\xi), w_1(\eta), \dots, w_m(\eta)),$$

2. if  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are elementary symmetric functions in variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$  are in  $t'_1, \dots, t'_m$ , then

$$p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + t_i + t'_j).$$

*Proof.* This is an application of splitting principle which says that for any bundle  $\xi = (E, p, B)$ , there is a space  $X$  and a map  $f : X \rightarrow B$  such that  $f^* : H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is injective and  $f^*\xi$  is a sum of line bundles. Thus, any relation we may obtain amongst SW classes while assuming  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are direct sum of line bundles is true in general. We omit the proof of splitting principle as it is well-known.

Assuming the above result, we may complete the proof as follows. We may assume  $\xi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i$  and  $\eta = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m L'_j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \otimes \eta &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i \otimes \bigoplus_{j=1}^m L'_j \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq m} L_i \otimes L'_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Whitney formula

$$\begin{aligned} w(\xi \otimes \eta) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} w(L_i \otimes L'_j) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (1 + a_i + a'_j) \end{aligned}$$

where  $w(L_i \otimes L'_j) = 1 + a_i + a'_j$ ,  $w_1(L_i) = a_i$  and  $w_1(L'_j) = a'_j$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} w(\xi) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + a_i) \\ w(\eta) &= \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + a'_j) \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $w_p(\xi)$  and  $w_q(\eta)$  are elementary symmetric polynomials in  $a_i$  and  $a'_j$  respectively. This completes the proof.  $\square$